Lecture 07. Subdifferential

Last Update: November 8, 2024

1 Introduction

Many popular ML models involve nondifferentiable objective functions, e.g., Lasso introduced as a special case of weighted least squares models. We generalize the concept of gradient for differentiable functions to the so-called subgradient for nondifferentiable convex functions.

2 Subgradients and Subdifferentials

Definition 1. A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ ($\bar{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty, -\infty\}$) is called proper if

- 1. $\exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, such that $f(\mathbf{x}) < \infty$;
- 2. $f(\mathbf{x}) > -\infty, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be a proper convex function and let $\mathbf{x} \in \text{dom } f$. A vector $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$
f(\mathbf{y}) \ge f(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle, \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n
$$
 (1)

is called a *subgradient* of f at \mathbf{x} .

Figure 1: A subgradient.

Question 1. In Definition [2,](#page-0-0) shall we ask $y \in dom f$?

Remark 1. In view of Definition [2,](#page-0-0) the subgradient is defined for convex functions.

Example 1. Consider function $f(x) = |x|, x \in \mathbb{R}$. Find the subgradient of f at 0.

MİRA

Solution: Let $g \in \partial f(0)$. Then

$$
f(y) = |y| \ge f(0) + g(y - 0) = gy.
$$

Clearly, the above inequality holds for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $q \in [-1, 1]$. Thus, we have

$$
\partial f(0) = [-1, 1],
$$

which is not unique. \blacksquare

Remark 2 (A geometric interpretation of subdifferential). Inspired by Fig. [1,](#page-0-1) we can link the subgradient of f to its epigraph. Indeed, for any $(y, t) \in epi f$, we have

$$
t \ge f(\mathbf{y}) \ge f(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle,
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{g} \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ t \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ f(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \le 0.
$$
 (2)

The inequality (2) is the **variational inequality** characterizing the projection of a point lying on the ray with base $(x, f(x))$ and direction $(g, -1)$ onto the set epi f.

Furthermore, Fig. [1](#page-0-1) implies that the vector $(g, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ determines a hyperplane supporting epi f at the point $(x, f(x))$. Can you find the expression of this hyperplane?

Definition 3. The set of all subgradients of f at x is called the *subdifferential* of f at x and is denoted by $\partial f(\mathbf{x})$.

Theorem 1. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and $\mathbf{x} \in \text{int}(\text{dom } f)$. Then, f is locally Lipschitz continuous at **x**, that is, $\exists \epsilon > 0$ and $M \geq 0$ such that

$$
|f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x})| \le M \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|, \forall {\mathbf{y} : \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| \le \epsilon}.
$$

Remark 3. The value of the parameter M in Theorem [1](#page-1-1) may depend on x .

Theorem 2. [\[1\]](#page-8-0) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and let $\mathbf{x} \in \text{int}(\text{dom } f)$. Then

- 1. the subdifferential $\partial f(\mathbf{x})$ is a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex set;
- 2. for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$
f'(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{v}) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{v}) - f(\mathbf{x})}{t} = \max_{\mathbf{g} \in \partial f(\mathbf{x})} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{g} \rangle,
$$

where $f'(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{v})$ is the directional derivative of f at **x** along the direction **v**;

3. if f is differentiable at **x**, then $\partial f(\mathbf{x}) = \{ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \}.$

Proof.

1. We first show that $\partial f(\mathbf{x})$ is **nonempty**. The working horse is the supporting hyperplane theorem.

As the point $(\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x}))$ is a boundary point of epi f, the supporting hyperplane theorem implies that we can separate $(x, f(x))$ and epi f by a hyperplane. That is, there exists a $(\mathbf{d}, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $(\mathbf{d}, \alpha) \neq 0$ such that

$$
\langle (\mathbf{d}, \alpha), (\mathbf{y}, t) \rangle \le \langle (\mathbf{d}, \alpha), (\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x})) \rangle, \forall (\mathbf{y}, t) \in \text{epi } f,
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \alpha t \le \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \alpha f(\mathbf{x}), \forall (\mathbf{y}, t) \in \text{epi } f. \tag{3}
$$

As the inequality [\(3\)](#page-2-0) holds for all $(y, t) \in$ epi f, we conclude $\alpha \leq 0$. We further claim that $\alpha \neq 0$. Suppose not, that is, $\alpha = 0$ (and thus $\mathbf{d} \neq 0$), the inequality [\(3\)](#page-2-0) becomes

$$
\langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle \le 0, \forall (\mathbf{y}, t) \in \text{epi } f. \tag{4}
$$

As $\mathbf{x} \in \text{int}(\text{dom } f)$, there exists a small number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{d} \in \text{dom } f$. Replacing **y** in [\(4\)](#page-2-1) by $x + \epsilon d$ leads to $d = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus, we must have $\alpha < 0$. Then, by replacing t by $f(\mathbf{y})$ in [\(3\)](#page-2-0) and dividing both sides by α , we have

$$
f(\mathbf{y}) \ge f(\mathbf{x}) + \langle -\mathbf{d}/\alpha, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle, \,\forall \mathbf{y},
$$

which implies that $-\mathbf{d}/\alpha \in \partial f(\mathbf{x})$. Therefore, the set $\partial f(\mathbf{x})$ is nonempty.

We next show the **boundedness** of $\partial f(\mathbf{x})$. As $\mathbf{x} \in \text{int}(\text{dom } f)$, we can find a a small number $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that $\{y : ||y - x|| < \epsilon_1\} \subseteq \text{dom } f$. Moreover, by Theorem [1,](#page-1-1) we can find an $\epsilon_2 > 0$ and $M \geq 0$ such that $\forall ||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|| \leq \epsilon_2$, we have

$$
|f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x})| \le M \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|.
$$

Let $\epsilon = \min{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$. For any $\mathbf{g} \in \partial f(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{g} \neq 0$, we choose

$$
\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{g}/\|\mathbf{g}\|,
$$

which leads to

$$
\epsilon \|\mathbf{g}\| = \langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x} \rangle \le f(\mathbf{x}') - f(\mathbf{x}) \le M \|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}\| = M\epsilon.
$$

Thus, $\partial f(\mathbf{x})$ is bounded.

The closedness and convexity of $\partial f(x)$ can be seen from its definition that, it is the intersection of a set of closed half-spaces.

- 2. We omit the proof here.
- 3. For any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{g} \in \partial f(\mathbf{x})$, we have

$$
\langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{v} \rangle = f'(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{v}) \ge \langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{v} \rangle.
$$

Changing the sign of v, we conclude that

$$
\langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{v} \rangle.
$$

By letting $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, we have $\mathbf{g} = \nabla f(\mathbf{x})$.

 \Box

Question [2.](#page-1-2) Consider Theorem 2. The condition that $\mathbf{x} \in \text{int}(\text{dom } f)$ is fundamentally important in deriving the conclusions.

- 1. If $\mathbf{x} \in \text{dom } f$ but it is not an interior point of dom f, is it possible that $\partial f(\mathbf{x}) = \emptyset$?
- 2. If $x \in \text{relint}(\text{dom } f)$, is it possible that $\partial f(\mathbf{x})$ is unbounded?

3 Subdifferential Calculus

Lemma 1. [\[2\]](#page-8-1) Suppose that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is a convex function. For $\alpha > 0$, let $h(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha f(\mathbf{x})$. Then, h is convex, and $\partial h(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha \partial f(\mathbf{x})$ for every **x**.

Proof. We show the result directly from the definition. Indeed, $g \in \partial f(x)$ if and only if for all y

$$
h(\mathbf{y}) = \alpha f(\mathbf{y}) \ge \alpha [f(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle] = h(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \alpha \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle,
$$

which implies that $\alpha \mathbf{g} \in \partial h(\mathbf{x})$.

Lemma 2. [\[2\]](#page-8-1) Suppose that $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is a convex function, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Let $h(\mathbf{x}) = f(A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$. Then, for any **x**, we have

$$
\partial h(\mathbf{x}) = A^{\top} \partial f(A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}).
$$

Proof. We show the result directly from the definition. Indeed, we have $\mathbf{g} \in \partial f(A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$ if and only if

$$
h(\mathbf{y}) = f(A\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{b}) \ge f(A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}) + \langle \mathbf{g}, A\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{x} \rangle = h(\mathbf{x}) + \langle A^\top \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle,
$$

which implies that A^{\top} **g** $\in \partial h(\mathbf{x})$.

Theorem 3 (Moreau-Rockafellar Theorem). [\[2\]](#page-8-1) Assume that $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $i = 1, 2$, are convex proper functions. If there exists a point $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \text{dom } f$ such that f_1 is continuous at \mathbf{x}_0 , then

$$
\partial f(\mathbf{x}) = \partial f_1(\mathbf{x}) + \partial f_2(\mathbf{x}), \,\forall \,\mathbf{x} \in \text{dom } f.
$$

Definition 4. A convex function is called closed if its epigraph is a closed set.

Lemma 3. [\[1\]](#page-8-0) Let functions $f_i(\mathbf{x})$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, be closed and convex. Then function

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{1 \le i \le m} f_i(\mathbf{x})
$$

is also closed and convex. For any $\mathbf{x} \in \text{int}(\text{dom } f) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \text{int}(\text{dom } f_i)$, we have

$$
\partial f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{conv} \, \{ \partial f_i(\mathbf{x}) : i \in \Delta^*(\mathbf{x}) \},
$$

where $\Delta^*(\mathbf{x}) = \{i : f_i(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})\}.$

Example 2. Consider function $f(x) = |x|, x \in \mathbb{R}$. Find $\partial f(x)$.

Solution: Clearly, $f(x)$ is a convex function. We find $\partial f(x)$ by two different approaches.

1. We have derived that $\partial f(0) = [-1, 1]$. Moreover, by noting that $f(x)$ is differentiable for $x \neq 0$, we have

$$
\partial f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x > 0, \\ [-1, 1], & \text{if } x = 0, \\ -1, & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}
$$

2. Let $f_1(x) = x$ and $f_2(x) = -x$. Clearly, we have $\partial f_1(x) = \{\nabla f_1(x)\} = \{1\}$, and similarly $\partial f_2(x) = \{-1\}.$

 \Box

 \Box

■

Moreover, it is easy to see that $f(x) = \max\{f_1(x), f_2(x)\}\)$, and thus

$$
\partial f(x) = \text{conv} \{ \partial f_i(x) : f_i(x) = f(x) \}
$$

$$
= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x > 0, \\ [-1, 1], & \text{if } x = 0, \\ -1, & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}
$$

Example 3. Let $f(\mathbf{x}) = ||\mathbf{x}||_1$, where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Find $\partial f(\mathbf{x})$.

Solution: It is easy to see that $f(x)$ is a convex function. We compute $\partial f(x)$ by two different approaches.

1. By Lemma [2](#page-3-0) and Theorem [3,](#page-3-1) we have

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathbf{e}_i^\top \mathbf{x}|
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \partial f(\mathbf{x}) = \partial \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\mathbf{e}_i^\top \mathbf{x}|\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial |\mathbf{e}_i^\top \mathbf{x}| = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{e}_i \partial |x_i|
$$

\n
$$
= \begin{cases} \mathbf{1}, & \text{if } x_i > 0, \\ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : v_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_i > 0, \\ [-1, 1], & \text{if } x_i < 0. \end{cases} \end{cases}
$$

2. We first write $f(\mathbf{x})$ as the supreme of a set of linear functions, that is,

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \max\left\{\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x} \rangle : \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n, |s_i| = 1, \forall i\right\}.
$$

Let $f_{s}(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ and $\Delta = \{ \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : |s_{i}| = 1, i = 1, \ldots, n \}.$ Then,

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \max\{f_\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{s} \in \Delta\}.
$$

Clearly, the function $f_{s}(\mathbf{x})$ is continuously differentiable and $\nabla f_{s}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{s}$. Let

$$
\Delta^*(\mathbf{x}) = \{\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{s} \in \Delta, f_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x}) = ||\mathbf{x}||\}.
$$

Clearly, for any **x**, if **s** $\in \Delta^*(\mathbf{x})$, then **s** takes the form of

$$
s_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_i > 0, \\ \pm 1, & \text{if } x_i = 0, \\ -1, & \text{if } x_i < 0. \end{cases}
$$

■

■

Then, by Lemma [3,](#page-3-2) we have

$$
\partial f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{conv} \{ \mathbf{s} : \mathbf{s} \in \Delta^*(\mathbf{x}) \}
$$

$$
= \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : v_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_i > 0, \\ [-1, 1], & \text{if } x_i = 0, \\ -1, & \text{if } x_i < 0. \end{cases} \right\}
$$

Example 4. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(\mathbf{x}) = \max\{x_i, i = 1, ..., n\}$, where x_i is the i^{th} component of x.

Solution: To see that $f(\mathbf{x})$ is convex, it suffices to note that

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{i=1,\dots,n} \langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle.
$$

Let $f_i(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ and $\Delta = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Clearly, $\nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{e}_i$. Let

$$
\Delta^*(\mathbf{x}) = \operatornamewithlimits{argmax}_{i=1,\ldots,n} f_i(\mathbf{x}).
$$

Thus, by Lemma [3,](#page-3-2) we have

$$
\partial f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{conv} \{ \mathbf{e}_i : i \in \Delta^*(\mathbf{x}) \} = \{ \mathbf{v} : \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, ||\mathbf{v}||_1 = 1, v_i = 0, i \notin \Delta^*(\mathbf{x}) \}.
$$

Lemma 4. Let $\phi(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ be a continuous function with respect to y and x, Δ a compact set and $\phi(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ is closed and convex in **x** for any fixed $\mathbf{y} \in \Delta$. Then,

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) = \sup \{ \phi(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{y} \in \Delta \}
$$

is closed and convex. For any x from

$$
\mathbf{dom}\ f = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(\mathbf{x}) < \infty\},
$$

we have

$$
\partial f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{conv} \, \{ \partial \phi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{y} \in \Delta^*(\mathbf{x}) \},
$$

where $\Delta^*(\mathbf{x}) = {\mathbf{y} : \phi(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})}.$

Example 5. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be the Euclidean norm $f(\mathbf{x}) = ||\mathbf{x}||$.

Solution: It is clear that $f(\mathbf{x})$ is convex, and we can write $f(\mathbf{x})$ as

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) = \max\{\langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x} \rangle : ||\mathbf{g}|| = 1\}.
$$

When $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$, we can see that

$$
\mathop{\mathbf{argmax}}\nolimits \{\langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x} \rangle : \|\mathbf{g}\| = 1\} = \left\{\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}\right\}
$$

■

only contains one element. This implies that $f(\mathbf{x})$ is differentiable at $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$. We are pretty familiar with this fact from calculus.

When $\mathbf{x} = 0$, we can see that

$$
\mathbf{argmax} \{ \langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x} \rangle : ||\mathbf{g}|| = 1 \} = \{ \mathbf{g} : ||\mathbf{g}|| = 1 \}.
$$

Thus, by Lemma [4,](#page-5-0) we have

$$
\partial f(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{conv} \{ \mathbf{g} : ||\mathbf{g}|| = 1 \} = \{ \mathbf{g} : ||\mathbf{g}|| = 1 \}.
$$

All together, we have

$$
\partial f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}, & \mathbf{x} \neq 0, \\ \{\mathbf{g} : \|\mathbf{g}\| = 1\}, & \mathbf{x} = 0. \end{cases}
$$

Example 6. Let $f : \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(X) = \lambda_{\max}(X)$. Find $\partial f(X)$.

Solution: From the last lecture, we have shown that $f(X)$ is a convex function. By eigendecomposition, a symmetric matrix can be written as

$$
X = U \Lambda U^{\top},
$$

where $U^{\top}U = I$ and $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$. Let $U = (\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n)$, i.e., \mathbf{u}_i is the eigenvector corresponding to λ_i . We then write $f(X)$ as the maximum of a set of linear functions over X :

$$
f(X) = \max\left\{ \langle \mathbf{s}, X\mathbf{s} \rangle : ||\mathbf{s}|| = 1 \right\} = \max\left\{ \langle \mathbf{s}\mathbf{s}^{\top}, X \rangle : ||\mathbf{s}|| = 1 \right\},\
$$

where

$$
\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{tr}(X^{\top}Y) = \sum_{i,j} x_{i,j} y_{i,j}
$$

denotes the inner product of two matrices X and Y. Let $f_{s}(X) = \{(\mathbf{s}^{\top}, X) \text{ and } \Delta = \{\mathbf{s} : ||\mathbf{s}|| = 1\}.$ Clearly, the function $f_s(\mathbf{x})$ is continuously differentiable and $\nabla f_s(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s}^\top$. Then,

$$
\partial f(X) = \text{conv} \{ \mathbf{s}^{\top} : \mathbf{s} \in \Delta, f_{\mathbf{s}}(X) = \langle \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s}^{\top}, X \rangle = f(X) \}.
$$

Next, let us find out which s from Δ makes $f_s(X) = f(X)$ holds. Assume that $\lambda_{\max} = \lambda_1 =$ $\cdots = \lambda_r$, where $1 \leq r \leq n$. We can see that

$$
\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{argmax} \langle \mathbf{ss}^{\top}, X \rangle, \, i = 1, \dots, r.
$$

Let $U^r = (\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r)$. Then,

$$
\Delta^*(X) := \underset{\mathbf{s} \in \Delta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \langle \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s}^\top, X \rangle = \{ \mathbf{v} : \mathbf{v} \in \operatorname{span} U^r, \|\mathbf{v}\| = 1 \} = \{ \mathbf{v} : \mathbf{v} = U^r \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^r, \|\mathbf{q}\| = 1 \}.
$$

By Lemma [4,](#page-5-0) we have

$$
\partial f(X) = \mathbf{conv} \left\{ \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^{\top} : \mathbf{v} \in \Delta^*(X) \right\}
$$

= $\mathbf{conv} \left\{ U^r \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}^{\top} (U^r)^{\top} : \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^r, ||\mathbf{q}|| = 1 \right\}$
= $\left\{ U^r G (U^r)^{\top} : G \succeq 0, \text{tr}(G) = 1 \right\}.$

■

References

- [1] Y. Nesterov. Introductory Lectures on Convex Optimization. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
- [2] A. Ruszczyński. Nonlinear Optimization. Princeton University Press, 2006.