

Lecture 21. Value Iteration

Lecturer: Jie Wang

Date: Dec 20, 2021

1 Introduction

In this lecture, we shall introduce an algorithm—called value iteration—to solve for the optimal action-value function q_* (and thus the optimal policy π_*). We further show the existence and uniqueness of q_* given a finite MDP.

All through this lecture, we assume that we have a perfect knowledge of the environment, i.e., the transition probabilities

$$\Pr(S_{t+1} = s', R_{t+1} = r | S_t = s, A_t = a), \forall s, s' \in \mathcal{S}, r \in \mathcal{R}, a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (1)$$

which is abbreviated by $p(s', r | s, a)$.

2 Bellman Optimality Equation

For $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the optimal action-value function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} q_*(s, a) &= \max_{\pi} \mathbb{E}[G_t | S_t = s, A_t = a] \\ &= \max_{\pi} \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a] + \gamma \max_{\pi} \mathbb{E}[G_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

As the transition probabilities in Eq. (1) are known, we can write the first term on the RHS of Eq. (2) as

$$\mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a] = \sum_r r \sum_{s'} p(s', r | s, a). \quad (3)$$

Moreover, the expectation in the second term on the RHS of Eq. (2) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[G_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a] &= \sum_{s', a'} p(s', a' | s, a) \mathbb{E}[G_{t+1} | S_{t+1} = s', A_{t+1} = a', S_t = s, A_t = a] \\ &= \sum_{s', a'} p(s' | s, a) p(a' | s', s, a) \mathbb{E}[G_{t+1} | S_{t+1} = s', A_{t+1} = a'] \\ &= \sum_{s', a'} p(s' | s, a) \pi(a' | s') q_{\pi}(s', a') \\ &= \sum_{s'} p(s' | s, a) \sum_{a'} \pi(a' | s') q_{\pi}(s', a'). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Combining Eq. (3) and Eq. (4), Eq. (2) becomes

$$q_*(s, a) = \sum_r r \sum_{s'} p(s', r | s, a) + \gamma \max_{\pi} \sum_{s'} p(s' | s, a) \sum_{a'} \pi(a' | s') q_{\pi}(s', a'). \quad (5)$$

Notice that, the inner summand in the second term is a convex combination of $q_{\pi}(s', a')$. Thus, to maximize the second term, we can find an action that maximizes $q_{\pi}(s', \cdot)$. Specifically, we have

$$q_*(s, a) = \sum_r r \sum_{s'} p(s', r | s, a) + \gamma \max_{\pi} \sum_{s'} p(s' | s, a) \max_{a'} q_{\pi}(s', a'). \quad (6)$$



By further noticing the definition of q_* , we can write Eq. (6) as

$$\begin{aligned} q_*(s, a) &= \sum_r r \sum_{s'} p(s', r|s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) \max_{a'} q_*(s', a') \\ &= \sum_{r, s'} p(s', r|s, a) (r + \gamma \max_{a'} q_*(s', a')). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Eq. (7) is the so-called **Bellman Optimality Equation** for the optimal action-value function q_* .

3 Existence and Uniqueness of q_*

In view of Eq. (7), an important question to ask is that, can we always find a q_* such that Eq. (7) holds? In other words, we need to discuss the existence of q_* .

Moreover, if we can ensure the existence of q_* , we shall be interested in its uniqueness. Indeed, in view of the definition of q_* in Eq. (2), if we can find the q_* function that satisfies Eq. (7), it must be unique.

By Eq. (7), we note that q_* is a **fixed point** of the Bellman optimality equation. Thus, a natural approach to explore the existence and uniqueness of q_* is the **Banach Fixed Point Theorem**, which is an important result on the so-called **contraction mapping**.

3.1 Banach fixed point theorem

Before we introduce the Banach fixed point theorem, we first introduce contraction mapping.

Definition 1 (Contraction Mapping). [1] Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called a *contraction mapping* on X if there is a positive real number $\alpha < 1$ such that for any $x, y \in X$

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y).$$

Geometrically, the images of any two points are getting closer under a contraction mapping with a ratio no larger than α .

Theorem 1 (Banach Fixed Point Theorem). *Suppose that X is a nonempty complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a contraction mapping on X . Then T has a unique fixed point.*

To show the Banach fixed point theorem, we will first show that the contraction mapping T always admits a fixed point, that is, the existence of the fixed point of T . Then, we show that T admits only one fixed point.

Proof. (Existence) We first show that we can always find a $x \in X$ such that $Tx = x$, i.e., x is one of the fixed points of T . The idea is that, starting from an arbitrary point x_0 , we construct a sequence (x_k) , $k = 0, 1, \dots$ by letting

$$x_k = Tx_{k-1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

We shall show that (x_k) is Cauchy. Once this is done, the sequence (x_k) converge to a point $x \in X$, as X is complete. Then, it suffices to show that $Tx = x$.

Let us now show that (x_k) is Cauchy. For notational convenience, let

$$C = d(x_1, x_0).$$



It is easy to see that

$$d(x_{k+1}, x_k) \leq \alpha d(x_k, x_{k-1}) \leq \dots \leq \alpha^k d(x_1, x_0) = \alpha^k C, \forall k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (8)$$

For any integers m, n (WLOG, say $m > n$), the triangular inequality leads to

$$d(x_m, x_n) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-n-1} d(x_{n+i+1}, x_{n+i}). \quad (9)$$

Combining the inequalities in (8) and (9), we have

$$d(x_m, x_n) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-n-1} \alpha^{n+i} C = \alpha^n C \frac{1 - \alpha^{m-n}}{1 - \alpha} \leq \alpha^n \frac{C}{1 - \alpha}.$$

Thus, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find an integer $N \geq \frac{\log \epsilon(1-\alpha) - \log C}{\log \alpha}$ such that for any integers $m, n \geq N$, we have $d(x_m, x_n) \leq \epsilon$. This shows that the sequence (x_k) is Cauchy, and thus there exists a point $x \in X$ such that $x_k \rightarrow x$.

We next show that x is a fixed point of T . By the triangular inequality, we have

$$d(Tx, x) \leq d(Tx, x_k) + d(x_k, x) \leq \alpha d(x, x_{k-1}) + d(x_k, x), \forall k = 1, 2, \dots$$

By letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, the above inequality becomes

$$d(Tx, x) = 0,$$

which implies that $Tx = x$. We have shown that x is a fixed point of T .

(Uniqueness) Suppose that we can find another fixed point x' of T . We have

$$d(x, x') = d(Tx, Tx') \leq \alpha d(x, x'),$$

which clearly leads to a contradiction as $\alpha < 1$. Thus, the contraction mapping T has only one fixed point. \square

3.2 Application to the Bellman optimality equation

The Banach fixed point theorem is the working horse to analyze the existence and uniqueness of the solution—that is, the optimal action-value function q_* —to the Bellman optimality equation. To apply the Banach fixed point theorem, we need to identify 1) a complete metric space where q_* lies in and 2) a contraction mapping.

The complete metric space Recall that, we consider finite MDPs in this lecture, i.e., $|\mathcal{S}|$, $|\mathcal{A}|$, and $|\mathcal{R}|$ are all finite. We can see that q_* lies in $\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}| \times |\mathcal{A}|}$. If we equip $\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}| \times |\mathcal{A}|}$ with an arbitrary norm $\|\cdot\|$, it becomes a complete normed vector space, i.e., a Banach space. Thus, $(\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}| \times |\mathcal{A}|}, d)$ is a complete metric space with its metric induced by the norm, i.e., $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$.

The contraction mapping In view of the Bellman optimality equation in Eq. (7), for any function $q : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}| \times |\mathcal{A}|}$, we define

$$Tq(s, a) = \sum_{r, s'} p(r, s' | s, a) (r + \gamma \max_{a'} q(s', a')), \forall s, s' \in \mathcal{S}, a, a' \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (10)$$

We show that the mapping T defined in Eq. (10) is a contraction mapping.

Lemma 1. For a finite MDP, the mapping T in Eq. (10) is a contraction mapping.

Proof. We consider the complete metric space $(\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}| \times |\mathcal{A}|}, d)$, where $d(q_1, q_2) = \|q_1 - q_2\|_\infty$ for any $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}| \times |\mathcal{A}|}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Tq_1 - Tq_2\|_\infty &= \max_{s,a} |Tq_1(s,a) - Tq_2(s,a)| \\
&= \gamma \max_{s,a} \sum_{r,s'} p(r,s'|s,a) |\max_{a'} q_1(s',a') - \max_{a'} q_2(s',a')| \\
&\leq \gamma \max_{s,a} \sum_{s'} p(s'|s,a) \max_{a'} |q_1(s',a') - q_2(s',a')| \\
&\leq \gamma \max_{s,a} \max_{s'} \max_{a'} |q_1(s',a') - q_2(s',a')| \\
&= \gamma \max_{s',a'} |q_1(s',a') - q_2(s',a')| \\
&= \gamma \|q_1 - q_2\|_\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

In view of the complete metric space $(\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}| \times |\mathcal{A}|}, d)$ with $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|_\infty$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}| \times |\mathcal{A}|}$ and Lemma 1, a direct application of the Banach fixed point theorem leads to the existence and uniqueness of its solution, i.e., the optimal action-value function q_* . We rigorously formalize this result in the theorem as follows.

Theorem 2. For a finite MDP, the Bellman optimality equation admits a unique solution.

4 Value Iteration

We have shown that the Bellman optimality equation always admits a unique solution. The next question is how to find the solution, i.e., the optimal action-value function q_* .

Indeed, the Banach fixed point theorem is not only a powerful tool to show the existence and uniqueness of the solution to a system of equations, but also a successive approximation approach to find the solution. Inspired by the proof of the Banach fixed point theorem, we have the so-called *value iteration* algorithm to find q_* as follows.

Algorithm 1 Value Iteration

Input: an initial vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}|}$, an initial matrix $q \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}| \times |\mathcal{A}|}$

Output: $\pi(s) = \operatorname{argmax}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a)(r + v(s'))$, $\forall s \in \mathcal{S}$

- 1: **repeat**
 - 2: **for** $s \in \mathcal{S}$ **do**
 - 3: **for** $a \in \mathcal{A}$ **do**
 - 4: $q(s, a) = \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a)(r + v(s'))$
 - 5: **end for**
 - 6: $v(s) = \max_a q(s, a)$
 - 7: **end for**
 - 8: **until** convergence
-



References

- [1] E. Kreyszig. *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*. John Wiley & Sons Inc., 1978.