

## Lecture 05. Convex Sets

Lecturer: Jie Wang

Date: Oct 11, 2021

Key distinction is not linear vs. nonlinear,  
but convex or. nonconvex.

*R. Tyrrell Rockafellar*

## 1 Introduction

Many popular machine learning models take the form of

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} f(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda\Omega(\mathbf{w}),$$

where  $f$  is the so-called loss function that measures how well the model fits the training data,  $\Omega$  is a regularization term, and  $\lambda > 0$  is the regularization parameter. When  $f$  is the least squares loss and  $\Omega$  is the square of the  $\ell_2$  norm of the model parameters, we have the well-known ridge regression:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2. \quad (1)$$

If we replace the regularization term in (1) by the  $\ell_1$  norm, we have another popular model, that is, Lasso, as follows.

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_1. \quad (2)$$

We have seen that, the ridge regression admits a closed form solution, while the computational cost can be expensive as it involves finding the inverse of a large-scale matrix. Noticing that the objective function in (1) is differentiable, we can use the classical gradient descent method to iteratively find a solution up to a given accuracy. However, this approach does not work for the Lasso problem in (2), as the regularizer is not differentiable.

Problems like (2) involving nondifferentiable terms are the so-called nonsmooth problems, which consist of a major research topic—called sparse learning—in machine learning. To deal with the nonsmooth problems, we need to equip us with a suite of new tools. In the next couple of lectures, we study a type of optimization problems—that is, convex optimization problems—which includes many popular sparse learning models as special cases.

## 2 Affine Sets

**Definition 1.** A set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is *affine* if the line through any two distinct points in  $C$  lies in  $C$ , i.e., if for any  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in C$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_1 \neq \mathbf{x}_2$ , and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\theta\mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \theta)\mathbf{x}_2 \in C$ .

**Definition 2.** A point  $x$  is called an *affine combination* of points  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$  if there exists  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_m \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\mathbf{x} = \theta_1\mathbf{x}_1 + \theta_2\mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + \theta_m\mathbf{x}_m$$

and

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \dots + \theta_m = 1.$$




Figure 1: The line passing through  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  is described parametrically by  $\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2$ , where  $\theta$  goes over the real line.

If  $C$  is an affine set and  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in C$ , then the set

$$V = C - \mathbf{x}_0 = \{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0 : \mathbf{x} \in C\}$$

is a subspace. Thus, we can also describe the affine set  $C$  by

$$C = V + \mathbf{x}_0 = \{\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}_0 : \mathbf{v} \in V\}.$$

The dimension of an affine set  $C$  is the dimension of the subspace  $V = C - \mathbf{x}_0$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is an arbitrary point in  $C$ .

**Example 1** (Solution set of linear equations). Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . The solution set  $C = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}$  is an affine set.

**Definition 3.** The *affine hull* of a set  $C$  is the set of all affine combinations of points in  $C$ , which is denoted  $\mathbf{aff} C$ :

$$\mathbf{aff} C = \{\theta_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + \theta_k \mathbf{x}_k : \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k \in C, \theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_k = 1\}.$$

The *affine dimension* of a set  $C$  is the dimension of its affine hull.

**Proposition 1.** The affine hull of set  $C$  is the smallest affine set that contains  $C$ .

**Definition 4.** The *relative interior* of the set  $C$ , denoted  $\mathbf{relint} C$ , is its interior relative to  $\mathbf{aff} C$ :

$$\mathbf{relint} C = \{\mathbf{x} \in C : \exists r > 0, B(\mathbf{x}, r) \cap \mathbf{aff} C \subseteq C\},$$

where  $B(\mathbf{x}, r) = \{\mathbf{y} : \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| \leq r\}$  is the ball of radius  $r$  and centered at  $\mathbf{x}$ . The relative boundary of  $C$  is defined as  $\bar{C} \setminus \mathbf{relint} C$ , where  $\bar{C}$  is the closure of  $C$ .

### 3 Convex Sets

**Definition 5.** In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , a point  $\mathbf{x}$  is a **convex combination** of the points  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}$  if

$$\mathbf{x} = \theta_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \theta_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \theta_k \mathbf{x}_k,$$

where  $\theta_i \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \cdots + \theta_k = 1.$$




Figure 2: Convex and nonconvex sets.

**Definition 6.** The **convex hull** of a set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , denoted by  $\text{conv } C$ , is the set of all convex combinations of points in  $C$ :

$$\text{conv } C = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i \mathbf{x}_i : \mathbf{x}_i \in C, \theta_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i = 1 \right\}.$$

The idea of convex combination can be generalized to include infinite sums, integrals, and, in the most general form, probability distributions [1] (expectation).




Figure 3: Convex hull.

**Definition 7.** A set  $C$  is **convex** if the line segment between any two points in  $C$  lies in  $C$ ; that is, if  $\forall \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in C$  and  $\forall \theta \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\theta \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \theta) \mathbf{x}_2 \in C.$$

**Example 2.** Suppose  $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $p(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in C$  and  $\int_C p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 1$ , where  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is convex. Then

$$\int_C p(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} d\mathbf{x} \in C,$$

if the integral exists.

**Definition 8.** A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is affine if it takes the form of:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b},$$

where  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

**Proposition 2.**

1. The intersection  $\cap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} C_i$  of any collection  $\{C_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  of convex sets is convex, where  $\mathcal{I}$  is an index set.
2. The closure and the interior of a convex set are convex.
3. The image and the inverse image of a convex set under an affine function are convex.

**Example 3.**

1. hyperplane:  $\{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{x} = b\}$ , where  $\mathbf{a} \neq 0$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .
2. halfspace:  $\{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{x} \leq b\}$ , where  $\mathbf{a} \neq 0$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .
3. norm ball:  $\{\mathbf{x} : \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\| \leq r\}$ , where  $r > 0$ .
4. polyhedron:  $\{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{x} \leq b_i, i = 1, \dots, m\}$ , where  $\mathbf{a}_i \neq 0$  and  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .
5. positive semi-definite matrices.

**Definition 9.** A set  $C$  is called a *cone*, or *nonnegative homogeneous*, if  $\forall \mathbf{x} \in C$  and  $\theta \in [0, \infty)$ , we have  $\theta \mathbf{x} \in C$ . A set  $C$  is a *convex cone* if it is convex and a cone; that is,  $\forall \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in C$  and  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \geq 0$ , we have

$$\theta_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \theta_2 \mathbf{x}_2 \in C.$$




Figure 4: Cones.

- A point of the form  $\theta_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \theta_m \mathbf{x}_m$  with all nonnegative  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$  is called a *conic combination* (or a *nonnegative linear combination*) of  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$ .

**Definition 10.** The *conic hull* of a set  $C$  is the set of all conic combinations of points in  $C$ , i.e.,  $\forall \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m \in C$ ,

$$\{\theta_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \theta_m \mathbf{x}_m : \theta_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\},$$

which is also the smallest convex cone that contains  $C$ .




Figure 5: Conic hulls.

## 4 Operations that Preserve Convexity

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an arbitrary index set. If the sets  $S_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , are convex, then the set  $S = \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} S_i$  is convex.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in S$ . Thus,  $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}$ , we have  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in S_i$ . As  $S_i$  is convex, the line segment between  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  also lies in  $S_i$ . Since this applies to all  $S_i$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , the line segment also lies in their intersection.  $\square$

**Definition 11.** We define the product of a set  $S$  by a scalar  $c$  to get

$$cS = \{c\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in S\}.$$

The *Minkowski sum* of two sets is defined by:

$$S_1 + S_2 = \{\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{x} \in S_1, \mathbf{y} \in S_2\}.$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, the set  $S = aS_1 + bS_2$  is convex.

*Proof.* Let  $S_1 \ni \mathbf{z}_1 = a\mathbf{x}_1 + b\mathbf{x}_2$  and  $S_2 \ni \mathbf{z}_2 = a\mathbf{y}_1 + b\mathbf{y}_2$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i \in S_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then,  $\forall \theta \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\theta\mathbf{z}_1 + (1 - \theta)\mathbf{z}_2 = a(\theta\mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \theta)\mathbf{y}_1) + b(\theta\mathbf{x}_2 + (1 - \theta)\mathbf{y}_2) \in S.$$

$\square$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be convex and  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be an affine function. Then, the image of  $S$  under  $f$

$$f(S) = \{f(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in S\},$$

is convex.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in f(S)$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{y}_1 = A\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{y}_2 = A\mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{b}$ . Then,

$$\theta\mathbf{y}_1 + (1 - \theta)\mathbf{y}_2 = A(\theta\mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \theta)\mathbf{x}_2) + \mathbf{b} \in f(S).$$

$\square$



**Lemma 4** (Carathéodory's Lemma [2]). *Suppose that  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, every element of  $\mathbf{conv} S$  is a convex combination of at most  $n + 1$  points of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \mathbf{x}_i$  be a convex combination of  $m > n + 1$  points of  $S$ . We shall show that  $m$  can be reduced by one. If  $\theta_i = 0$  for some  $i$ , then we are done. Otherwise, assume that all  $\theta_i > 0$ . As  $m > n + 1$ , we can find  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^m$ , not all equal 0, such that

$$\alpha_1 \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \alpha_2 \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + \alpha_m \begin{bmatrix} x_m \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Let  $\tau = \min\{\theta_i/\alpha_i : \alpha_i > 0\}$  and  $\theta'_i = \theta_i - \tau\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Still, we have  $\sum_{i=1}^m \theta'_i = 1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m \theta'_i \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}$ . The definition of  $\tau$  leads to a fact that at least one  $\theta'_i = 0$  and we can delete the  $i^{\text{th}}$  point. Repeating the above procedure, we can reduce the number of points to  $n + 1$ .  $\square$



---

## References

- [1] S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe. *Convex Optimization*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [2] A. Ruszczyński. *Nonlinear Optimization*. Princeton University Press, 2006.